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Introduction

Climate warming in the East Siberian Arctic region has caused enhanced transport of large amounts of ‘old’ terrigenous organic matter (OM), previously stored for thousands of years in the (thawing) Siberian permafrost, to the East Siberian Arctic Seas (ESAS; Vonk et al., 2012). Although major progress has been made in the last decades, the fate of the organic carbon component of this remobilised terrigenous OM is still a matter of debate. Recent studies, for instance, indicate that (i) there are large differences in the degradation of different compound classes and fractions (e.g. dissolved vs particulate OM) and (ii) matrix association of these compounds exerts a first order control over their degradability (Sánchez-García et al., 2011; Karlsson et al., 2016; Sparkes et al., 2016; Tesi et al., 2016). A substantial part of the remobilised terrigenous organic carbon is degraded in the Arctic shelf water column and released into the atmosphere, particularly close to the point of origin, e.g. river outflow or coastal erosion of organic rich Pleistocene permafrost ice complex deposits, leading to a further positive feedback for global climate warming (Vonk et al., 2012; Vonk and Gustafsson, 2013; Bröder et al., 2016).

In contrast much less is known about the fate of the terrigenous organic nitrogen (TON) in this region. An understanding of the fate of this TON is important as nitrogen is a limiting nutrient for phytoplankton growth. Changes in the availability and source of this nutrient element can affect the Arctic food web from the base upwards (Batista et al., 2014). These changes can be detected through the food web by both bulk and compound specific stable analysis (e.g. Carstens et al., 2013).

Results and discussion

In this study bulk δ¹⁵N values (inorganic and organic nitrogen) were obtained from analyses of surface sediments collected over extensive scales of the ESAS (Laptev Sea, Dmitry Laptev Strait and East Siberian Sea) during the ISSS-08 (2008) and SWERUS-C3 (2014) cruises. The δ¹⁵N signature shows a near shore region dominated by river input and coastal erosion, in contrast, further offshore the δ¹⁵N signature is primarily marine. Comparison of bulk δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C values show a strong correlation suggesting a comparable fate for the terrigenous nitrogen and organic carbon in these Arctic regions (figure 1a). Based on the sediments from the near coastal regions, distinct δ¹⁵N terrigenous endmembers values can be deduced, suggesting values for the Laptev Sea between 1.4 and 3.6‰ and for the East Siberian Sea between 4.2 and 6.7‰, reflecting a difference in the terrigenous (organic) nitrogen input in these adjacent regions. This offset is continued in an offshore direction, suggesting that the remobilised terrigenous nitrogen is likely incorporated into the marine biomass. Macromolecular analysis (by pyrolysis GCMS) using a phenol to pyridine ratio (Sparkes et al., 2016) confirms the dominance of terrigenous derived OM in the coastal areas.
as well as the shifts to a marine dominance in off-shore directions. Comparison of bulk $\delta^{15}$N and this ratio also shows a strong correlation, confirming not only the comparable fate of terrigenous nitrogen and OM in these regions but also supports the distinct differences observed between the Laptev and East Siberian Sea (figure 1b).

Conclusions

These results suggest that the $^{15}$N values at the base of the marine food web are influenced by the remobilised terrigenous nitrogen transported to these Arctic shelves. Ultimately, this could have a larger impact on the marine $^{15}$N isotope landscape and subsequently higher trophic levels within the Arctic Ocean food web than previously thought. However, in order to elucidate the source of the organic nitrogen fraction, e.g. excluding the potential impact of inorganic nitrogen sources, detailed compound specific (amino acid) $\delta^{15}$N analyses are needed.

Figure 1 Plots of a) $\delta^{13}$C vs $\delta^{15}$N and b) $\delta^{15}$N vs the phenol to pyridine ratio (obtained by py-GCMS) in surface sediments of the East Siberian Arctic Seas.
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